OA85carC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 11 Cr. 205 (AKH) 4 v. 5 HUGO ARMANDO CARVAJAL BARRIOS, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 October 8, 2024 12:25 p.m. 9 Before: 10 11 HON. ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, 12 U.S. District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BY: RACHEL BRADLEY 17 KAYLAN E. LASKY KEVIN SULLIVAN 18 Assistant United States Attorneys ROBERT A. FEITEL 19 Attorney for Defendant 20 21 22 ALSO PRESENT: HARRY RUCKER, CISO FRANCISCO OLIVERO, Spanish Interpreter 23 24 25

25

1 (Case called) 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your appearances for the record. 3 4 MR. BRADLEY: Good afternoon, your Honor. Nicholas Bradley, Kaylan Lasky, Kevin Sullivan for the government, along 5 with Paralegal Specialist Sabrina Jim Munoz. 6 7 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. FEITEL: Good afternoon, your Honor. Robert 8 9 Feitel for defendant Hugo Carvajal. My client is present in 10 Court today. He has indicated that he can hear the Spanish translation. 11 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Good morning, 12 13 Mr. Carvajal. 14 INTERPRETER: Good morning, your Honor. Is channel 1 15 on? 16 THE COURT: Say that again? 17 INTERPRETER: The interpreter is unable to hear the 18 table mics and was inquiring whether it was on. Sorry, your 19 Honor. Thank you. 20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: It's on. THE COURT: Are we all set now? 21 22 INTERPRETER: Thank you very much, your Honor; yes. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Lasky, what are we doing?

Court on February 29th some time ago. Since that time we have

MR. BRADLEY: Your Honor, we were last before the

OA85carC

engaged in extensive Classified Information Procedures Act practice, there was a protective order that was filed and, we had, I believe both parties have had ex parte conferences with the Court, and the defendant has since retained Mr. Feitel as new counsel and we have coordinated with prior counsel to make sure that the discovery has been turned over expeditiously. We understand that discovery is being reviewed.

From our perspective, your Honor, the government is ready to move forward with setting a trial date. I know that is something that the Court has been --

THE COURT: Have you completed your discovery?

MR. BRADLEY: Yes, your Honor. We recently came into possession of a small number of jail calls that we will be turning over promptly, but otherwise discovery is complete.

I understand the Court has certainly been asking about scheduling a trial date for some time. The government is ready to do that now. I understand that there may be, likely will be additional litigation.

THE COURT: Let's see what Mr. Feitel has up his sleeve.

MR. BRADLEY: I was going to say, your Honor, that I understand from talking with defense counsel, we tried to coordinate schedules. We understand from Mr. Feitel due to his schedule --

THE COURT: Why don't we hear from Mr. Feitel.

OA85carC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRADLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Feitel, what's up your sleeve? Other than the jacket.

MR. FEITEL: I would be remiss if I disclose what I have up my sleeve so early in the case. I appreciate your Honor inquiring.

As thing stands now, I have received -- everyone always says that the discovery in their case is significant. think the discovery in this case is extraordinarily large. have received, from prior counsel, pursuant to the protective order entered by your Honor, which we are bound by, several hard drives and lots of other documents from the government and from prior counsel. I have been in the process of really spending a lot of time reviewing them, not fully up to speed but I think there is still a lot more for me to go through. understand this case has been pending for a while before I was involved so I am not sure what the arc of this case will be, ultimately, but I don't have an objection, in principle, to setting a trial date if your Honor thinks it is appropriate to do so. We can come back in 30 days, if your Honor would prefer. By that time I will have finished my review of discovery.

THE COURT: Are you planning any motions? We haven't had a motion yet.

MR. FEITEL: With respect to the motions, your Honor,

2.3

I have not, at this point, decided if I need to file any because my discovery review is not complete, but there is CIPA motions pending before the Court. I have spoken to the government about this. I have not yet received final clearance and what I ask your Honor to do would be to hold it in abeyance, the motions until as such time I can take a look at what is going on because I'm not sure.

THE COURT: Well, I have been functioning with the government on CIPA motions and I think we have completed the work on the summaries. Have we now, Mr. Lasky?

MR. BRADLEY: We have, your Honor. We have provided that additional updated information to the Court. I think we are waiting for a ruling from the Court regarding on the sufficiency of those.

THE COURT: Regarding what?

MR. BRADLEY: Regarding the sufficiency of those updated summaries.

THE COURT: I have a few left before me but I think before that I made my rulings.

MR. BRADLEY: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And these were made to correct the earlier rulings.

MR. BRADLEY: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: I need to review those.

MR. BRADLEY: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Which I will do very soon. 2 MR. BRADLEY: Yes. THE COURT: Have any of the summaries been given to 3 Mr. Feitel? 4 5 MR. BRADLEY: We have not yet for two reasons. First, we wanted to ensure that the Court believed they were 6 7 appropriate under the protective order; and second, as Mr. Feitel said, we understand he is still going through the 8 9 clearance process as well. 10 THE COURT: So I don't deal well with arcs, Mr. Feitel, I like to deal with things as they come along and 11 then when everybody is ready, affix a trial date. What I need 12 to do is to make my final rulings, I can try and do that very 13 14 fast, and then the next step will be for you to declare your motions. 15 16 MR. FEITEL: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: So we need to know when you would be doing 18 that. 19 MR. FEITEL: If I could have 30 days, your Honor. 20 think it is good for attorneys to have deadlines, keeps you moving forward. So, if your Honor would give me the courtesy 21 22 of 30 days? 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. FEITEL: I will have a definitive answer by then.

THE COURT:

What would I expect in the 30 days?

MR. FEITEL: I will file notice on the docket in the nature of a letter to your Honor advising the Court whether I plan to file any motions and provide summary of the motion or at least delineation.

THE COURT: Why don't we schedule a status conference for 30 days hence, at which time I would expect you to, ask you to declare the motions and the nature of the motions that you will be making and present me with a briefing schedule with the government, that way we will keep the case going more orderly.

NOW, I could give you a trial date now or we can set it after we have this next conference after I decide the motions. I think I probably should give it to you now because this is a long case. How long will it be, Mr. Lasky?

MR. BRADLEY: Mr. Bradley, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Bradley. I'm sorry.

MR. BRADLEY: Apologies. And the defendant --

THE COURT: You are Mr. Bradley. Sorry.

MR. BRADLEY: We are all interchangeable, your Honor.

THE COURT: You aren't first on the list, that's why.

MR. BRADLEY: The defendant was first presented here last July, your Honor. We had a number of status conferences. From the government's perspective I agree that I think it would be prudent to set a trial date. I understand from talking with Mr. Feitel he has a very busy trial schedule.

THE COURT: How about May 5, folks?

MR. BRADLEY: I'm sorry, your Honor?

THE COURT: May 5, 2025.

MR. BRADLEY: From the government's perspective we are ready. Mr. Feitel may have a conflict but I don't want to speak for him.

THE COURT: Mr. Feitel?

MR. FEITEL: Your Honor, I would ask for a date in July. I have a trial scheduled, an international murder case in U.S. District Court of Washington, D.C. for the 15th of April, which is scheduled to take at least two and a half weeks, and yesterday a judge in the District of Maryland scheduled a case for me for the 2nd of June, 2025, that is a 150-count indictment. So I will spend the intervening time preparing for all of them but I would ask if your Honor could set this sometime in the beginning of July so I would have a chance to --

THE COURT: Why not June?

MR. FEITEL: On June 2nd I'm starting my truly with Judge Chuang in Maryland. The judge booked three weeks of trial for that.

MR. BRADLEY: We would have no objection to a July date here, your Honor.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: How many weeks?

MR. BRADLEY: For our trial, I would say it is three weeks.

1	THE COURT: I would like to start in June. Can we
2	start in June, Mr. Feitel?
3	MR. FEITEL: If it would be the last week of June,
4	assuming that I have completed my responsibilities for the
5	other trial case.
6	THE COURT: June 23. Final pretrial conference
7	June 16 at 10:30.
8	Does that work, Mr. Feitel?
9	MR. FEITEL: Yes, your Honor. I will be here or I
10	have co-counsel in this case, Sandi Rhee, one of us will be
11	here for trial.
12	THE COURT: Well, if you are saying that, maybe we can
13	have an earlier date.
14	MR. FEITEL: Perhaps I should be quiet, but I think
15	this is going to be a two-person case to defend, so.
16	THE COURT: So I need both of you.
17	MR. FEITEL: Ms. Rhee will be available for the trial
18	dates.
19	THE COURT: She will?
20	MR. FEITEL: Yes, sir; she will be.
21	THE COURT: That will be June 23 for trial at 10:00.
22	June 16, 10:30, final pretrial conference.
23	Now let's go back to the motions. November 12,
24	Mr. Feitel, is that OK for you?
25	MR. FEITEL: Yes, sir.

November 12 at 2:30.

THE COURT: At 2:30: And you will then be declaring 1 your motions and we will set a briefing schedule. 2 Anything else we need to do today, Mr. Bradley? 3 MR. BRADLEY: Just the application on scheduling. 4 THE COURT: Motion for exclusion of time? 5 6 MR. BRADLEY: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Please proceed. MR. BRADLEY: The government would respectfully ask 8 that the Court to exclude time between, I believe it was 9 10 previously excluded through October 22 through the date of the next conference, which the Court just scheduled. 11 THE COURT: Why don't you do it to trial. 12 13 MR. BRADLEY: We are happy to do that as well, your 14 Honor, through the trial date of June 23, 2025. The government 15 would respectfully submit that the proposed exclusion would serve the ends of justice so that the parties can continue to 16 17 review discovery with an eye toward trial, evaluate motion 18 practice, and also prepare for trial. 19 THE COURT: Without objection, Mr. Feitel? 20 MR. FEITEL: Without objection, your Honor. THE COURT: So ordered. 21 22 Let's get the date set. 23 Brigitte? 24 THE DEPUTY CLERK: The next pretrial conference is

OA85carC

THE COURT: Yes. And final pretrial conference? THE DEPUTY CLERK: Is June 16 at 10:30. THE COURT: And trial date? THE DEPUTY CLERK: June 23rd. THE COURT: At 10. MR. FEITEL: At 10. THE COURT: Length of the trial you expect, Mr. Bradley? MR. BRADLEY: We estimate three weeks, your Honor. THE COURT: A three-week trial. OK, folks. Thank you.